Pt. 75, App. A

APPENDIX A TO PART 75— SPECIFICATIONS AND TEST PROCEDURES

1. Installation and Measurement Location

1.1 Pollutant Concentration and CO₂ or O₂ Monitors

Following the procedures in section 3.1 of Performance Specification 2 in Appendix B to part 60 of this chapter, install the pollutant concentration monitor or monitoring system at a location where the pollutant concentration and emission rate measurements are directly representative of the total emissions from the affected unit. Select a representative measurement point or path for the monitor probe(s) (or for the path from the transmitter to the receiver) such that the SO₂ pollutant concentration monitor or NO_x continuous emission monitoring system (NO_x pollutant concentration monitor and diluent gas monitor) will pass the relative accuracy test (see section 6 of this Appendix).

It is recommended that monitor measurements be made at locations where the exhaust gas temperature is above the dewpoint temperature. If the cause of failure to meet the relative accuracy tests is determined to be the measurement location, relocate the monitor probe(s).

1.1.1 Point Pollutant Concentration and CO_2 or O_2 Monitors

Locate the measurement point (1) within the centroidal area of the stack or duct cross section, or (2) no less than 1.0 meter from the stack or duct wall.

1.1.2 Path Pollutant Concentration and CO_2 or O_2 Gas Monitors

Locate the measurement path (1) totally within the inner area bounded by a line 1.0 meter from the stack or duct wall, or (2) such that at least 70.0 percent of the path is within the inner 50.0 percent of the stack or duct cross-sectional area, or (3) such that the path is centrally located within any part of the centroidal area.

1.2 Flow Monitors

Install the flow monitor in a location that provides representative volumetric flow over all operating conditions. Such a location is one that provides an average velocity of the flue gas flow over the stack or duct cross section, provides a representative SO₂ emission rate (in lb/hr), and is representative of the pollutant concentration monitor location. Where the moisture content of the flue gas affects volumetric flow measurements, use the procedures in both Reference Methods 1 and 4 of Appendix A to part 60 of this chapter to establish a proper location for the flow monitor. The EPA recommends (but does not require) performing a flow profile

study following the procedures in 40 CFR part 60, appendix A, Method, 1, section 2.5 or 2.4 for each of the three operating or load levels indicated in section 6.5.2 of this appendix to determine the acceptability of the potential flow monitor location and to determine the number and location of flow sampling points required to obtain a representative flow value. The procedure in 40 CFR part 60, Appendix A, Test Method 1, section 2.5 may be used even if the flow measurement location is greater than or equal to 2 equivalent stack or duct diameters downstream or greater than or equal to 1/2 duct diameter upstream from a flow disturbance. If a flow profile study shows that cyclonic (or swirling) or stratified flow conditions exist at the potential flow monitor location that are likely to prevent the monitor from meeting the performance specifications of this part, then EPA recommends either (1) selecting another location where there is no cyclonic (or swirling) or stratified flow condition, or (2) eliminating the cyclonic (or swirling) or stratified flow condition by straightening the flow, e.g., by installing straightening vanes. EPA also recommends selecting flow monitor locations to minimize the effects of condensation, coating, erosion, or other conditions that could adversely affect flow monitor performance.

1.2.1 Acceptability of Monitor Location

The installation of a flow monitor is acceptable if either (1) the location satisfies the minimum siting criteria of Method 1 in Appendix A to part 60 of this chapter (i.e., the location is greater than or equal to eight stack or duct diameters downstream and two diameters upstream from a flow disturbance: or, if necessary, two stack or duct diameters downstream and one-half stack or duct diameter upstream from a flow disturbance), or (2) the results of a flow profile study, if performed, are acceptable (i.e., there are no cyclonic (or swirling) or stratified flow conditions), and the flow monitor also satisfies the performance specifications of this part. If the flow monitor is installed in a location that does not satisfy these physical criteria, but nevertheless the monitor achieves the performance specifications of this part, then the location is acceptable, notwithstanding the requirements of this section.

1.2.2 Alternative Monitoring Location

Whenever the designated representative successfully demonstrates that modifications to the exhaust duct or stack (such as installation of straightening vanes, modifications of ductwork, and the like) are necessary for the flow monitor to meet the performance specifications, the Administrator may approve an interim alternative flow monitoring methodology and an extension to

Environmental Protection Agency

the required certification date for the flow monitor.

Whenever the owner or operator successfully demonstrates that modifications to the exhaust duct or stack (such as installation of straightening vanes, modifications of ductwork, and the like) are necessary for the flow monitor to meet the performance specifications, the Administrator may approve an interim alternative flow monitoring methodology and an extension to the required certification date for the flow monitor.

Where no location exists that satisfies the physical siting criteria in section 1.2.1, where the results of flow profile studies performed at two or more alternative flow monitor locations are unacceptable, or where installation of a flow monitor in either the stack or the ducts is demonstrated to be technically infeasible, the owner or operator may petition the Administrator for an alternative method for monitoring flow.

2. EQUIPMENT SPECIFICATIONS

2.1 Instrument Span

In implementing sections 2.1.1 through 2.1.4 of this appendix, to the extent practicable, measure at a range such that the majority of readings obtained during normal operation are between 25 and 75 percent of full-scale range of the instrument.

2.1.1 SO₂ Pollutant Concentration Monitors

Determine, as indicated below, the span value for an SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor so that all expected concentrations can be accurately measured and recorded.

2.1.1.1 Maximum Potential Concentration

The monitor must be capable of accurately measuring up to 125 percent of the maximum potential concentration (MPC) as calculated using Equation A-1a or A-1b. Calculate the maximum potential concentration by using Equation A-1a or A-1b and the maximum percent sulfur and minimum gross calorific value (GCV) for the highest sulfur fuel to be burned, using daily fuel sample data if they are available. If an SO2 CEMS is already installed, the owner or operator may determine an MPC based upon the maximum concentration observed during the previous 30 unit operating days when using the type of fuel to be burned. For initial certification, base the maximum percent sulfur and minimum GCV on the results of all available fuel sampling and analysis data from the previous 12 months (where such data exists). If the unit has not been operated during that period, use the maximum sulfur content and minimum GCV from the fuel contract for fuel that will be combusted by the unit. Whenever the fuel supply changes such that these maximum sulfur and minimum GCV values may change significantly, base the maximum percent sulfur and minimum GCV on the new fuel with the highest sulfur content. Use the one of the two following methods that results in a higher MPC: (1) results of samples representative of the new fuel supply, or (2) maximum sulfur and minimum GCV from the fuel contract for fuel that will be combusted by the unit. Whenever performing fuel sampling to determine the MPC, use ASTM Methods ASTM D3177-89, Standard Test Methods for Total Sulfur in the Analysis Sample of Coal and Coke,' ASTM D4239-85, "Standard Test Methods for Sulfur in the Analysis Sample of Coal and Coke Using High Temperature Tube Furnace Combustion Methods,'' ASTM D4294-90, "Standard Test Method for Sulfur in Petroleum Products by Energy-Dispersive X-Ray Fluorescence Spectroscopy," ASTM D1552-90, 'Standard Test Method for Sulfur in Petroleum Products (High Temperature Method),' ASTM D129-91, "Standard Test Method for Sulfur in Petroleum Products (General Bomb Method)," or ASTM D2622-92, "Standard Test Method for Sulfur in Petroleum Products by X-Ray Spectrometry" for sulfur content of solid or liquid fuels, or ASTM D3176-89, 'Standard Practice for Ultimate Analysis of Coal and Coke", ASTM D240-87 (Reapproved 1991), "Standard Test Method for Heat of Combustion of Liquid Hydrocarbon Fuels by Bomb Calorimeter'', or ASTM D2015-91, "Standard Test Method for Gross Calorific Value of Coal and Coke by the Adiabatic Bomb Calorimeter'' for GCV (incorporated by reference under §75.6). Multiply the maximum potential concentration by 125 percent, and round up the resultant concentration to the nearest multiple of 100 ppm to determine the span value. The span value will be used to determine the concentrations of the calibration gases. Include the full-scale range setting and calculations of the span and MPC in the monitoring plan for the unit. Select the full-scale range of the instrument to be consistent with section 2.1 of this appendix, and to be greater than or equal to the span value. This selected monitor range with a span rounded up from 125 percent of the maximum potential concentration will be the 'high-scale'' of the SO₂ pollutant concentration monitor.